1 2 3	SCHOOL COMMITTEE MEETING—May 28, 2008 Lower Conference Room, Town Hall	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PRESENT Tracy Driscoll, Chair Margaret Matthews, Vice Chair David Roberts Thomas Ryan Margaret Connolly Joanne Flatley John Healy	ADMINISTRATION June M. Doe, Superintendent Cynthia Kelly, Asst. Superintendent Christopher Campbell, Asst. Superintendent Michael La Francesca, Business Manager Alan Winrow, Principal Timothy Ruggere, Principal Clare Sullivan, Principal Elizabeth Cummings, Principal Holli Armstrong, Principal Doris Claypool, Principal Jacob Santamaria, Assistant Principal John Murray, Assistant Principal Andrew Boles, Assistant Principal
19 20 21	Convened: 7:00 PM	Adjourned: 10:50 PM
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	SUPERINTENDENT'S UPDATE Ms. Doe announced that the Junior/Senior Prom was held at Luciano's on Lake Pearl in Wrentham last Friday evening. She said 375 students and guests attended and it was a spectacular success. She thanked the class advisors, Mr. Megan and Ms. Bohan, for their efforts to coordinate this event. Ms. Doe announced that Class Night is Monday, June 2. The evening begins with a band performance at 620. She stated Outstanding Alumni plagues would be evented to clumni who	
31 32 33 34	performance at 6:30. She stated Outstanding Alumni plaques would be awarded to alumni who have gone on to make worthy contributions to society. She said this year's awards are being presented to Kevin Hampe (1969), Francis Sally (1961), and Dianne (O'Sullivan) Zawacki (1972).	
35 36 37 38 39 40	Ms. Doe announced that commencement is next Wednesday, June 4, at 5:30 PM. She said the guest speaker would be former ambassador Donald Gregg, whose mother graduated from Dedham High School 99 years ago. She stated that Mr. Santamaria would be the featured speaker. She stated that, if it rains, the commencement would be moved indoors and only those with tickets would be able to attend.	
41 42 43	Ms. Doe announced the Senior Class Estate.	barbeque would be held on Friday, May 30, at the Endicott
44 45 46	this year's New England Press Associ	ne <i>Dedham Mirror</i> won the Superior Achievement Award at lation Conference. She said the award was issued by Helen lege of Communication at Boston University for excellence

in scholastic editing and publishing. Ms. Doe noted this is the third consecutive year the *Mirror* has been honored with this distinction. She said, in addition, 2007 graduate Greg Hurst received

a Special Achievement Award in Review Writing for his piece in last June's Mirror titled "No

 Monkey Glory."

Ms. Doe stated that the top 30 graduating seniors were recognized at a dinner last night at the
MIT Endicott Estate. These students were: Peter Hynes, Carolyn Sliwa, Michelle O'Connor,
Robert Santamaria, Ryan Murray, Stephanie Welch, Dylan Nauss, Alex Doucette, Daniel Barrett,
Elizabeth Kempton, Jose-Anthony Ramos, Krista Bradbury, Daniel Hynes, Samantha Quinn,
Daniel Driscoll, Katrina Heisler, Phillip Nash, Katelin Timmons, Jennifer Boudrow, Rachel
Luna, Candice Radloff, Meghan Healy, Molly Sullivan, Brittany Walker, Shane Heffernan, Payal
Desai, Steven Riley, James McCormick, Kathryn Mariano, and Katelyn Warjas.

CHAIR'S UPDATE

Ms. Driscoll stated that most of the School Committee members attended the National Honor Society reception on May 20 and also attended the recognition dinner. She said it was a beautiful event and the committee appreciated being able to attend. She stated they are proud of the graduates.

GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

There was none.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON ACTION ITEMS

There was none.

RESPONSIVE CLASSROOM, DEVELOPMENTAL DESIGNS, PEER MEDIATION

Responsive Classroom

Ms. Armstrong introduced the responsive classroom. She said the approach is based on the premise that learning is optimized when both academic and social skills are addressed. She said this program helps students build both. She reported that the program has been implemented district wide at the elementary level. Twenty teachers have been trained, with staff members training other staff members. She stated that Julie Killgoar, Christine Cummings, Heather Rudolphsen, Julie Lally, and Karen Giannangelo would provide further detail about the program.

Ms. Rudolphsen reviewed the seven guiding principals of Responsive Classroom. She said that how children learn is as important as what they learn, and the greatest cognitive growth is achieved through social interaction. She said knowing each other well is essential to the children's education. She added that lasting change begins with the adult community.

Ms. Rudolphsen outlined the practices of Responsive Classroom. She said the program utilizes classroom practices such as the morning meeting, rule creation, interactive modeling, positive teacher language, logical consequences, guided discovery, academic choice, classroom organization, working with families and collaborative problem solving.

Ms. Lally, kindergarten teacher, spoke about how Responsive Classroom is implemented in her classroom. She said a key component for her students is talking about hopes and dreams. She showed a project her students had done and explained how it achieved the objective of Responsive Classroom. She also referenced a pamphlet published by Responsive Classroom that outlines the developmental stages, which she feels helps parents understand what they can expect from their kindergartener. She stated the premise is to build a safe, caring classroom in a community of learners. She also described what a morning meeting would entail and what is fostered by the interaction.

Ms. Cummings, Riverdale teacher, spoke about guided discovery. She stated students are taught how to behave both in the classroom and outside of it. They address lunchtime, walking down

the hallway quietly, taking care of materials, and cleaning up after yourself. She stated they practice classroom routines and said you cannot always assume children know what is expected of them. She said they hope the philosophy will expand into other behaviors.

Ms. Killgoar reviewed rules and logical consequences. She said the program strikes a nice balance between looking at feelings and making sure everyone is happy and the foundation of self control and discipline. She said the foundation for a caring community is teaching students to be good listeners and respectful. She said this is achieved through the development of rules. She said that sometimes reactive discipline is necessary, but the lesson is all of your actions have consequences, both good and bad. She stated the students learn to make amends to preserve relationships. She said over the course of the year the kids are becoming more self-disciplined.

Ms. Giannangelo stated that Responsive Classroom gives teachers a model for a consistent and effective approach for behavior in the classroom. She stated that children learn acceptable behavior and about responsibility. She added that most teachers have been trained and the benefits are being seen in the classroom. The elementary teachers support seeking funding for further training to ensure the success of the program.

Peer Mediation

Mr. Ruggere stated the Middle School has implemented two programs, the first through the SCORE grant, for peer mediation. He stated that research has shown that when peers mediate conflict it prevents the situation from recurring. He stated that next year guidance counselor Liz Fritz will be trained as a conflict resolution coordinator. He explained that peer mediation is used following an unsuccessful attempt by the teacher to address unacceptable behavior by a student.

Mr. Ruggere reported that there have been over a dozen mediation meetings over the past seven months. He stated that the process begins with a referral by the administration or teacher. The peer mediation leaders, guidance counselors Liz Fritz and Tim Geary, would then meet with the students in conflict separately or as part of an initial screening before the mediation. They would then choose peer mediators not in the same grade as the students in conflict or not friends with the students to avoid conflict of interest. Next, Ms. Fritz or Mr. Geary would inform the mediators about the situation and have them review their training prior to commencing the mediation. He said the ultimate goal of the mediation is to have the conflicting parties come to an agreement that states the terms they agree to follow. He stated the mediation has seemed to be productive and they hope to recruit more peer mediators next year.

Developmental Designs

Andrew Patterson, spoke about Developmental Designs, which is a continuation of the Responsive Classroom and addresses the needs of the Middle School student. He stated that twenty teachers were trained on its implementation in the classroom and the school. He acknowledged Rebecca Weber who trained with him and was assisting with the presentation tonight.

Mr. Patterson stated that Developmental Designs is community centered and classroom learning centered. He said the five components of Developmental Designs are building relationships, building social skills, engaged learning, responsible independence and adult teamwork. He stated that trust facilitates learning. He said the program stresses avoiding removal from the classroom because then student is removed from learning. He then reviewed how these components are effectively implemented in the classroom.

Mr. Patterson stated that Developmental Designs fits into the Middle School well because there is already a strong community based environment at the school. He said community building is furthered through the team model. He stated that active learning is encouraged by administrators and students get multiple opportunities to engage in their learning.

Mr. Patterson stated that ideally, to fully implement the program, the Middle School should provide training for all teachers. He said they recommend establishing a school-wide "buddy room" system for teachers to use as a disciplinary measure when working with students, and the staff should adopt the program's "common language".

Mr. Roberts asked about how individual student issues are addressed at team meetings. Mr. Patterson said he and Sue Lyons came up with the idea of creating action plans. He said they were dealing with some difficult students and decided to have these students outline a behavior plan that is modeled in the affirmative. Ms. Weber said it is important for the student to take ownership of the problem. Mr. Roberts asked how the parental component works. Mr. Patterson said the adult community and teamwork is part of it. He said parents were not included in the training, but the parent receives the checklist and a letter of explanation of the three pronged approach to solving the behavior problem. Mr. Ruggere commented that beginning this program next year at the beginning of the school year should help with the program's success.

Ms. Connolly asked if parents at the Middle School are aware of the contract. Mr. Patterson said his team came up with it for their specific students. Ms. Connolly said parents should be made aware of developing problems.

Ms. Connolly asked if this program is part of the professional development scheduled for next year. Ms. Kelly said they are trying to train another twenty teachers at the Middle School and elementary level. She said they can train five for free through a Northeastern agreement and would have five more trained as well. She said the challenge is it is a full week of training. They had a training for Middle School teachers in March and arranged for coverage of their classes so they could attend. She said the goal is to train two people from each team. Mr. Patterson said modeling is a big part of the training. He said at the end of the week it was amazing to see how well they were developing these skills. Ms. Weber said the teachers being trained were initially skeptical, but ended up buying into it and it is really working.

Ms. Connolly worried about implementation without full training. Mr. Patterson said it could be done in small steps. He said the kids are excited to make progress looking at their action plans.

Ms. Rudolphsen said the teachers trained at the elementary level worked with and trained other teachers about the components of the program and its activities and went through a modified version of training. She said the trained teachers offered to let the other teachers to observe their classroom for any of the pieces, which has helped to make it successful.

Ms. Matthews asked about impact of program on bad behavior outside of the classroom. Mr. Patterson said the students are exposed to modeled behavior for these issues right away and rules and expectations are made known.

Ms. Lally said part of the program is using common language. She said the teachers say to the students, "remind me", as an example and they set common expectations. They model behavior on the playground and the assistants working in the classroom use it in the lunchroom and at recess. She said it takes time.

05~28~08

Ms. Matthews asked how they would be able to measure the success of the programs. She said the School Committee has received sample discipline logs and wondered where the discrepancy would end. Ms. Lally said that Ms. Gaudreau came into a classroom which had been built as a positive environment and she commented that every student was behaved. She said there is a decrease in the discipline problems. Ms. Rudolphsen said the teachers are on board and trying to deal behavior issues within the classroom using the discipline model offered by Responsive Classroom. She said the program is just beginning. Mr. Patterson added that the buddy program would help. He said he talks to students about their behavior plans and this keeps them on track, and this helps them take responsibility.

Ms. Connolly remarked on peer mediation. She said sometimes students are tougher on their peers than the administrators. It would be interesting to see the results.

Laurie Reisner spoke about bullying. She said she has worked with the administration to address this issue and reached out to parents. She said there has not been consistency in the district and bullying cannot be tolerated. She stated that research found that bullying can lead to suicide, known as "bullycide". She said they need to provide children with a safe environment in which to learn. She urged administrators to take a stand against all kinds of bullying and urged parents to speak up. She stated parents should contact central administration if their issue is not resolved. She said she is happy about some of the developments, but they need to keep working.

Ms. Doe thanked her for her comments. She said that if a parent feels an issue is not being addressed to please bring it to the attention of central administration. She said they would be responsive. She said that addressing it immediately is the most effective way to combat it.

There was a short break.

SUPERINTENDENT'S GOALS

This item was addressed under Items For Next Agenda.

DISCUSSION – DESIGNATING NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL FOR STATION 250

Ms. Driscoll stated that the committee has a recommendation on designating the neighborhood school for the new development known as Station 250 from the Superintendent. She this evening's discussion would be facilitated by Ms. Matthews.

Ms. Doe stated the administrators are pleased to see the parents here to express their concern about the placement of these students. She said they would listen carefully to the concerns and would consider the factual information that will help them reach a decision. She assured them that for the past two years the administrative team has looked at student enrollment in every class in every grade. She said the School Department historically has been responsive to changes in enrollments, up or down. She said she did not anticipate anything different in the way they go about their recommendations, and their interest is in the best education of all students in the district, individually and as a whole.

Ms. Doe stated that Jefferson Place has not exceeded its anticipated enrollment. She said two years ago they began looking at exactly what the Fairfield development would add to enrollments, and they were worried about Oakdale. She pointed out that the school has 90 more students than any of the other elementary schools. She said it is an additional burden on extra services, such as nursing, special education, and the climate at the school in general. She said it is reasonable to consider this in making the decision about where to place the new students.

Ms. Doe stated that data points regarding schools have been distributed. She emphasized that as administrators they want to know what the specific concerns are and to use factual information to come to an equitable solution. She stated they could not be capricious. The students' education is their job and what they work on every day.

Ms. Doe stated that enrollment sheets have been distributed as well. She noted a shift in enrollment at Greenlodge in Grade 1. She said they would be adding another grade one classroom next year to address this. She stated they are anticipating a half dozen elementary students from Station 250 next year and the recommendation has been to assign these students to Greenlodge.

Ms. Matthews offered a frame for the discussion. She repeated the facts that she has. She stated she has visited Jefferson and Station 250. Her last conversation with Station 250 was at 4:30 this afternoon. She stated Station 250 will have 285 rental units. The development will begin renting in July. There will be nine phases, with 6 to 8 weeks between phases. She said that 25% of the development, or 71 units, are designated for affordable housing per Chapter 40B. She stated phase nine would happen at the end of calendar year 2009.

Ms. Matthews stated that at this point in time using state guidelines, they are projecting somewhere in the mid twenties of school aged to reside there. She stated that looking at Jefferson as a comparison it is at 93% capacity with 300 units. This development was predicted to bring approximately 25 students and there are 24 students currently enrolled from that address. She stated they came reasonably close to their projections and it is reasonable to expect similar numbers from 250's projection, which is 22 students. She said it is reasonable to assume 6 to 7 elementary students, but they could have up to 13 students at the elementary level depending on the occupancy of the three bedroom units.

Ms. Matthews said the immediate concern is the short term decision of assigning students for the fall. She said the other issue is the new elementary school. She said that Avery is firmly in the pipeline for development and they do not want to jeopardize that. She said they do not know if they have a school yet and therefore commenting on the rebalancing of the schools is somewhat dependent on that time frame. She reiterated the short term versus long term aspects of the process. She also said the parents' input is valuable. She said the committee is in listening mode tonight and no decision would be made.

Mr. Ryan asked if the data points were the work of the administration. Ms. Matthews said she worked on it in collaboration with the administration. Ms. Doe stated the data points use 2002 data from the DOE and the Master Plan. She stated the administration has analyzed the issue thoroughly. She noted that in 2002 the use of classroom space may have been different. She said they should appreciate that there was no library at the Oakdale School then. She also noted that there are special education uses of the space now that may have been regular education space.

Mr. Ryan asked if there were really 24 classrooms. He said that Principal Cummings said there are 19. Ms. Matthews said that she put the data points together for her benefit and this evolved with the administration. She said she used publicly accessible data. Ms. Doe said Greenlodge has 17 regular education classrooms and three full size, special education classrooms. Ms. Kelly clarified the numbers on data sheet for him.

Ms. Flatley said she was given a lot of information about station 250 as a Finance Committee member. She stated 6% of the development was to be three bedrooms, which would be 17 units,

25% of which would be made affordable according to state guidelines. She said the ratio is approximately eight to ten children per 100 units. The lawyer for the property said the zoning permit shows a range of 22-28.

A parent stated she found an error in the Greenlodge data points and said the 2002 data is outdated. She stated the enrollment sheet shows current and projected enrollment and noted Riverdale and Oakdale are staying the same and Avery is down 10% and Greenlodge is up10%. She asked if the numbers include the Station 250 enrollments. Ms. Matthews said no. The parent said there is a 10% increase before talking about the project. Ms. La Francesca said there is a teacher being added to address the increase in first grade.

Ms. Matthews said she used 2002 data because it is the most recent information available and buildings do not change, the only exception being the addition of the library at Oakdale. In this case, the total classrooms increased from 23 to 24.

Beth Wolfson said the data points are not helpful. Michelle Hache also noted Greenlodge has the highest class size. She said that even with an additional teacher they would still have the highest class size.

Michelle Labadini stated that Greenlodge parents attended the April 30 School Committee meeting to advocate for an additional teacher. At that meeting, there had been no mention of adding students to the school. She stated the issue was raised and debated at the May 14 meeting. She stated she is opposed to placement at Greenlodge for several reasons. She said the school is at full capacity; it has three classes at each grade, and takes in out of district students. She said that prior to adding Station 250 there should be verification that students are attending their neighborhood school. She further stated Avery and Oakdale are closer and the class size at Greenlodge exceeds Oakdale and Avery. She noted that according to the enrollment summary, the enrollments are going up. She felt that the new students should attend the school with the smallest class size. She concluded by stating that Station 250 is looking to entertain families. She does not want the development to be redistricted to Greenlodge.

Ms. Connolly said she was on the committee for this development and there had not been a playground on the plan.

A parent asked how they could project the numbers; a three bedroom could potentially have six children. Ms. Flatley said the ratios work and it is not unreasonable to assume that the projections are inaccurate. She also stated they were told there would be lease restrictions on the three bedroom units.

A parent asked why Avery and Riverdale are not being considered.

Ms. Doe answered that it is established locally and at the state level that Avery is an inappropriate educational space. She said the administrative team would not recommend putting the students into this school. Ms. Doe added that it would not be reasonable to transport the students across town to Riverdale. She said that was not reasonable when you have comparable schools in Greenlodge and Oakdale. She said there is space at these schools.

A parent also asked about the potential for students to be attending out of district schools. Ms. Doe said the School Department is aggressive about pursuing residency checks. She said prior to two years ago the policy was to accommodate out of district enrollment requests if possible. She said they have tried to keep these out of district students at the elementary schools to finish their

education. She stated that if students are not residents or in their neighborhood school they would look into it and use school resources officer and attendance officer to check. The parent said she did not want the students from the development going to Greenlodge.

A parent asked if there was a place that they could review district boundaries. Ms. Doe said the current boundaries are not on the web site, but they could work with the Town to do that. This parent asked whether students on education plans have been evaluated to make sure the assignments are ratio compatible. Ms. Matthews said that she cannot provide individual student data. She added that only professional staff was included in the numbers she provided.

A parent asked about the low numbers in the special education classes. Mr. Campbell explained that two grades are combined with one teacher. Ms. Doe said there are 15 teachers this year at Greenlodge and 15 next year.

David Silver said he was confused about the classroom numbers listed on the data sheet. Ms. Doe stated that there are 17 full size regular education classrooms and 3 full size special education classrooms.

An Oakdale parent suggested reallocating classroom use and suggested too much space is used for special education. Mr. Campbell clarified that there are two district wide programs at Greenlodge, two at Oakdale and three at Avery. He said they are at every building. A parent suggested sending the new students from the development to Riverdale since it only has one program. St. Mary's and the former administration building were also cited as other options to consider.

Ms. Doe stated that Oakdale has 22 full sized classrooms, 21 regular education and 1 special education. She said they are using instructional space at Avery that is not full size.

Jill Jones asked why they were not considering splitting up the new students. She thought other variables were not being included, such as special education service needs and language barriers.

A Greenlodge parent noted that Riverdale is not changing in class size. She also said that the prediction of a half dozen elementary students is irresponsible. She further stated that the bus excuse does not wash for her. Ms. Doe answered that they have discussed potential solutions to this issue. She said they looked at the data projections for Jefferson, which were accurate. She said they are research based predictions and it is reasonable to go with the projection as opposed to worrying about an enrollment spike that is not based on fact. She reiterated that the district is responsive to changing class sizes.

Answering to the bus issue, Ms. Doe said she spoke to legal counsel and was advised that the decision cannot be capricious or arbitrary, which means they cannot put these students at all different schools. She said Riverdale is not reasonable, nor does the school have the room.

Ms. Janet McNeil said they bus from Riverdale to the ECEC, the Middle School and the High School. She did not feel it was impossible to put the new students at Riverdale. Ms. Matthews replied that legal counsel advised that capricious or arbitrary decisions should not be made, and the longer distance can be viewed as discriminatory. Ms. Doe said that Riverdale students are only bused to regional schools.

Ms. Mercer asked to clarify affordable versus low income. Ms. Flatley said 40B is affordable. Ms. Mercer stated that affordable means that income is 80% of the median; it is not public

05~28~08

housing. She said there is no reason to believe that the students will all be special education students. She said they have the Jefferson students at Oakdale and they all speak English. She said the both developments are ½ mile closer to Greenlodge. She further stated she has not heard of an overcrowding problem at Greenlodge and mentioned that until this year both the music and art programs traveled from classroom to classroom at Oakdale. She said there is not extra room and their classrooms are smaller. She said it is reasonable to split the developments between two schools. She does not agree they should be sent across town. She said they are their neighbors and the children deserve an education. She also mentioned that both developments were not considered to be residentially zoned. It was industrial space.

Mr. Healy cautioned the discussion participants about their comments without legal counsel present. He stated that regardless of their income they are members of the community.

A parent asked why Riverdale and Avery were not options. She said both Greenlodge and Oakdale are at capacity. She does not think the situation would make those assignments capricious. She pointed out that the students would still be going to a different school than the students from Jefferson and adjacent residential streets. She said the classroom sizes at Avery might be more appropriate. Ms. Matthews said Avery has the smallest square footage of classroom space.

Ms. Doe said redistricting would be considered as they look to the future with the new Avery School. She stated they would be updating the master plan and seeking an equitable distribution of the student population. She said it is not possible to simply redistrict everyone for September. She said they would ultimately make a recommendation to adjust districts starting with Avery School once it is built. She said that not every one is going to be happy, but they are making decisions based on what is best for the students at these schools. She said the designation of a neighborhood school for Station 250 must be addressed for September and the larger picture would come later.

John Rice asked not to include special education classes in determination of class size. He said the low class sizes at Greenlodge are due to special education. Ms. Matthews said the projections are actual class sizes for next year and the special education students are removed.

Brian Keaney asked where the enrollment projection data comes from. Ms. Matthews said they are actual enrollments, not projections. She said the other enrollment data comes from the DOE. Mr. Keaney asked why the enrollment study was not done over the summer as stated it would be and why redistricting was not done. Ms. Matthews answered that the process for Avery was not known a year ago and they have clearer guidelines now. She said they are working in concert with the School Building Rehabilitation Committee and their work on the project is beginning. Mr. Keaney said they knew Station 250 was going to happen and they should have been more responsive. Ms. Driscoll responded that last year they thought the Baron project was coming online, as well, and that is why it was so urgent then. She said they also thought Station 250 was opening in March.

A parent who already spoke asked about the increase in fifth grade. Ms. Doe said they are watching the enrollment and, looking at it across the district, there are similar numbers. The parent asked if they would lose grade 1 teacher if they needed additional grade 5 support. Ms. Doe answered no, that grade 1 is a priority. The parent also asked how they would be kept abreast of the enrollment and School Committee decisions over the summer.

Ms. Matthews answered that they would notify parents of any changes. She also noted that the committee members' numbers were on the website. Ms. Doe said there would be direct parent contact is through Connect-ED and the press.

A parent who already spoke asked when they would be deciding on a fourth first grade teacher for Greenlodge. Ms. Matthews said the Budget Subcommittee would have to look at it first. The parent asked about the decision on the development. Ms. Driscoll said the committee would discuss it at the June 11 meeting and ultimately take a vote on the matter.

Mrs. Walko reminded everyone that this development began years ago with the Zoning Board and the Planning Board. She said a half dozen members religiously attended these meetings. She said School Committee members also attended to advocate for a reduction of apartments. She admonished those present that then was the time to get involved.

Ms. Doe stated that they would make arrangements to contact parents and students to meet with the new first grade teacher once that is finalized.

Mark Riley, Greenlodge parent, said he was happy to see consideration of the capacity of the buildings. He said there were some assumptions built into the numbers and he would appreciate a more accurate assessment of the facilities' capacities. He suggested the special education classes be removed from the data sheet and the sq. footage of the spaces added.

Ms. Matthews said she started the datasheet on her own and she tried to stay away from subjective decision making. She said she used publicly available data and drew her conclusions, which she then shared with administration. She said the headcount analysis was added based on a PTO question. She said they could re-look at room use.

Mr. Silver asked who is responsible for finding out what federal funding is available. Mr. Campbell said federal and state funding is distributed based on census information and free and reduced lunch figures. Mr. Silver suggested that potential qualifying families be identified. Ms. Doe said they do aggressively pursue this.

Ms. Matthews credited Mr. Campbell and Mr. La Francesca for getting an additional \$264,000 from the state in special education funding by being vigilant about funding opportunities. She stated the Avery project is top on the state's list because of this type of attention to detail.

Mr. Ryan commented he understood the aim of administration and the position of several School Committee members, but that he is uncomfortable with the process. He felt the decision to move Station 250 was made and they were now backfilling the data to justify it. He thought there should be studies and analyses to use to make the decision. He stated Treasurer Cahill was quoted in the paper that because of tight fiscal affairs no community is going to be allowed to build a school that exceeds the capacity of the existing school. He said it would be the same structure with the same number of kids, only bigger and better.

Mr. Ryan stated that redistricting should not be a two part phasing. He said they should do it now because temporary solutions become permanent solutions. He said he has been on the School Committee for seven years and they have always stressed the importance of neighborhood schools and low class sizes. He said it is what parents want and taxpayers support. He stated that Greenlodge has higher class sizes than other schools even with an additional teacher. He said it also has a higher percentage of special education services.

Mr. Ryan said the proposal does not make educational sense to him. He felt these principles were still important and urged the committee not to make expedient decisions. He said shifting the burden is not a sound educational decision. He said the proposal should not be based on the interest of one school; it should be a comprehensive plan for the benefit of the entire district. He asked for reconsideration of the proposed plan. He said he would like a well reasoned, comprehensive redistricting plan for every school aged children in town.

Ms. Flatley said she wants to know where the open spaces are before they give this further consideration.

Ms. Connolly said she would look at the data. She said she would try to make the best decision.

Ms. Driscoll thanked everyone for coming and said they appreciated their comments. She stated that regardless of where the students are assigned, one school is being pitted against another. She said the question is whether Greenlodge can sustain the increase. She questioned whether any one school could handle both developments. She said they may have to make the decision without redistricting or a five year plan, although redistricting is going to take place. She also stated that a larger Avery could be built. She said by changing the district lines they can build increased enrollment into the projection. She said they could also add specialized classrooms. She emphasized that there is a process to be followed to redistrict.

Mr. Healy said that no matter what is decided there can very easily be two different neighborhoods sitting in the audience at another time. He does not want a repeat of tonight simply because a different school is chosen.

Ms. Matthews said she interpreted Treasurer Cahill's comment as not paying for extras. She did think there is an opportunity to expand. She said they want to balance the schools, which they will have the opportunity to do with the new Avery School and some redistricting.

Ms. Matthews also agreed with Mr. Healy. She said the School Committee would listen to input and make a decision on one building within six weeks.

ACADEMIC REPORTING

Ms. Driscoll said the committee is deciding on the implementation of the academic reporting recommendations. She stated that Plan A is for just grade 9 next year and Plan B is for all grades.

Ms. Connolly stated that she, Ms. Chin, Ms. Totino and Ms. Widmaier have investigated how colleges look at reporting. They worked diligently to call colleges to make their recommendations. She stated that the data collected supported moving to a weighted GPA right away. She stated that colleges do not recognize QPA. They make a weighted GPA on their own. She said they found that changing to a weighted GPA would not have negative impact on the students. She stated that some schools do not care about the weighted GPA because they do their own, but all other schools said the transition should be noted. Specifically, a letter should be attached to the transcript for the duration of the transition. Ms. Connolly recommended following Plan B.

Ms. McCormick thanked the parents for their participation. She asked if the colleges have seen this type of transition in transcripts. Ms. Widmaier replied that it is common. Ms. McCormick asked if it has a negative impact. Ms. Widmaier replied there is no negative impact. She then asked how they would deal with the transitional period. Ms. Widmaier replied there are various ways, but the colleges did want attention called to the change.

Ms. McCormick said there are four pieces to the recommendation: the question of A+/A, which is being recommended for all High School students beginning with the 2008-2009 school year and would not affect prior grades; AP being weighted higher than honors, which would also begin in September; class rank; and weighted GPA. She said the difference is that one proposal recommends beginning the weighted GPA with only the incoming freshmen class and the other offers the weighted GPA for all students beginning in September, which would create a hybrid system. She said the complication is with the existing High School students who would have a combined system resulting in an estimated weighted GPA. She stated that the QPA is not reported to colleges now. It is only used to calculate class rank. She said class rank is reported to colleges. She said the new system does not use all classes and there is no clean way to merge the systems. She said all school districts surveyed only implemented the weighted GPA for the incoming class for the reasons explained.

Mr. Roberts said the cleaner the transcript the better. He said colleges sanitize the transcripts. Ms. McCormick has said the freshmen class would have the new system and the current grades would have the old. Mr. Roberts asked if it was possible to still come up with solid GPA. Ms. McCormick said they could only estimate the weighted GPA for the existing High School students. Ms. McCormick said it would be a dual system. All students would get an un-weighted and a weighted GPA and they would only report the weighted if it gives the student an advantage.

Mr. Healy asked what towns were looked at. Ms. McCormick said they looked at several systems. She said one of districts under revision, Norwood, is implementing their weighted GPA with the incoming class.

Ms. Connolly worried that students would not be looked on favorably without the change. She said the colleges said there is no negative impact with the hybrid situation. She added that two colleges recommended it be done retroactively.

Mr. Ryan asked if they have numerical grades that could be converted. Ms. Connolly said parents on committee would have liked to see that happen, but they cannot get that. Mr. Ryan said his concern is without number grades there would be some estimating involved. He asked whether a student be impacted negatively were the committee to opt for Plan B. Ms. Driscoll said that was a potential and they did not want to negatively impact the students. He wondered if it would impact rank. Ms. Doe said it does.

Ms. Connolly pointed out that the High School handbook notes that the grading system is under review. She supported having an accurate reflection now. Ms. McCormick said the question is when it gets changed. She supports the idea philosophically, but thinks it is fairest to establish the parameters at the beginning. They should not change mid stream for existing students.

Ms. Flatley said there is perceived controversy on weighting AP and honors. She asked if colleges determine the potential GPA. Ms. Doe said colleges determine their own GPA and all do it differently. Ms. McCormick said that they are striving for something the students can understand.

Mr. Roberts asked the Superintendent for her recommendation. Ms. Doe said there has been a thorough study done and they do not support meshing the two systems. She said the administration supports beginning with this with the freshmen. She said meshing does not provide a mathematically definitive way of determining GPA.

Ms. Driscoll called attention to the fact that the recommendation to end class rank junior year was abandoned.

Mr. Murray said meshing two systems might produce many unanticipated permutations which could be very difficult to address. He supported the cleanest possible way of implementation, which is with the incoming freshmen class.

Mr. Winrow stated that the transcripts have been changed to reflect only the final grades. He said it made for a cleaner, more concise transcript. He also supported maintaining a unified system.

Ms. Matthews asked if the A+ and A- is set and if they could vote on its implementation in 2008. She was informed they could. She asked if weighting AP higher than honors could be voted. The committee was prepared to vote on that with a 2008 implementation date. Ms. Matthews then asked about the weighted GPA. Ms. McCormick said the committee voted to table implementation, but has agreed to implement it. Ms. Matthews asked if the table was revised to reflect the committee's input. Ms. McCormick said it was. Ms. Matthews asked where that could be found. Ms. McCormick pointed to the tables. She stated Plan A was proposed for the Class of 2012 next year and Plan B was proposed in response to feedback from the committee. She said the School Committee needs to decide which plan to implement. She said the weighted chart could still be considered.

Ms. Matthews asked if the administration was uniformly against meshing the weighted GPA with the QPA. She was informed that was the case. The administration recommends weighting only for the Class of 2012.

Ms. Matthews asked how weighing AP higher than honors would impact QPA. She was informed that the QPA would be revised to accommodate that. Mr. Winrow said there would have to be columns added to transcripts for A+ and AP.

Ms. Widmaier said some parents support the mesh and wondered if the possibility existed to calculate the GPA manually. Ms. McCormick said on paper or on computer it is still retroactively applying a new system to old grades. Ms. Widmaier said that the weighted system would make parents happier. Ms. McCormick said the original plan was to implement everything with 2012. She said she has to advocate for all students.

Ms. Driscoll remarked there has been misperception that the parents are advocating for individual students. She said that the committee could delay a vote until they have something in front of them that is clearer. Ms. McCormick reviewed the matters to be vote.

Mr. Ryan moved, seconded by Mr. Healy, and it was

VOTED: to implement the change of a higher weighted AP, adding an A+ and changing the point value of the A range for all grades beginning in September 2008.

Ms. Driscoll stated that part two is the implementation of Plan A or B. She said that Plan A is implementing the weighted GPA for incoming freshmen. She said that the recommendation line about class rank ending in junior year would be deleted.

Ms. Connolly asked about the allocation change. Ms. Driscoll answered that that section is for review.

1 2 3 4 5	Ms. Matthews said she was inclined to move to postpone vote on this section. Ms. Connolly asked that any reference to class rank be changed to reflect the continuation through grade 12. Ms. McCormick said those changes would be made. Ms. Doe said the administration supports that.	
6 7 8 9	Mr. Ryan moved, seconded by Ms. Matthews, to accept Plan A, which would implement a weighted GPA for the incoming class, and chart three is removed. Mr. Ryan, Ms. Matthews, an Mr. Healy voted yes. The others did not vote.	
10 11 12	Mr. Roberts asked for clarification of Plan A. Ms. Matthews said it was not clear to her before that administration unanimously supported Plan A.	
13 14 15 16	Mrs. Walko said the focus should be the students, not on the work this would create for teachers. Ms. Matthews said the administration's recommendation gives her pause. Mr. Roberts said he is not prepared to vote based on this discussion.	
17 18 19 20	Mr. Murray said it is not about work or data conversion. He said this concerns assumptions about rules and regulations students came to the High School with. He recommended they remain consistent through the end of their High School career.	
21 22 23	Ms. Driscoll said this would be put on the June 11 agenda. She asked Ms. McCormick to put together something the committee can look at to vote.	
24	MINUTES	
25	Mr. Roberts moved, seconded by Ms. Connolly, and it was	
26 27	VOTED: to accept the May 14, 2008 minutes.	
28	DONATIONS	
29	DONATIONS	
30	There were none.	
31	ITEMS FOR NEVE A CENTRA	
32 33	ITEMS FOR NEXT AGENDA Ms. Driscoll said the next agenda would include committee discussion on Station 250 and a final	
34 35	vote on academic reporting.	
36 37	Ms. Flatley asked to hear from the adjustment counselors at some point.	
38 39 40	Ms. Doe said there would be student recognition for their participation in the math league and a report by the Math Curriculum Committee.	
41 42 43 44 45	Ms. Driscoll stated the Superintendent's goals were passed out to the committee. It is a draft. She asked that questions be directed to Mr. Healy and Ms. Flatley and they will discuss them with the Superintendent and vote them at the next meeting. Mr. Healy stated that the goals were developed with the input of the Superintendent.	
45 46 47	Mr. Healy moved, seconded by Mr. Roberts, and it was	
48	VOTED: to adjourn.	